UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,594	10/18/2006	Axel Hebenstreit	095309.56366US	4786
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 10/05/201 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			WAITS, ALAN B	
P.O. BOX 1430 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/539,594	HEBENSTREIT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ALAN B. WAITS	3656				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	oril 2010.					
	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,13-18 and 22-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 11,13-18 and 22-33 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:						

Art Unit: 3656

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on April 8, 2010 for a Continued Examination (RCE) is accepted and a continued prosecution application has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 11, 13-18, 21 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirschfeld USP 6892602 in view of Cooper USP 3877319.

Hirschfeld discloses a similar device comprising:

### Re clm 11

- A steering spindle (3, fig 1) which is mounted in a casing tube so as to be rotationally movable
- A switch module (10, fig 1) which is held immovably with respect to the rotational movement of the steering spindle and is fixed radially and axially on the casing tube
- The switch module is supported on a bearing arranged on the steering spindle (fig 1)

Art Unit: 3656

The switch module comprises a centering device (11, 13, 19 and 20, fig 1)
which, under a force which is oriented coaxially with respect to the
longitudinal axis of the steering spindle, fixes the switch module on the
casing tube and clamps the switch module radially

The centering device comprising a stator (11, fig 1) and clamping jaws
 (13, fig 1)

#### Hirschfeld does not disclose:

- An elevation protrudes toward the casing tube in a substantially radial direction from a central portion of a first face of a first clamping jaw that faces the casing tube
- The elevation contacting an outermost surface of the casing tube and centering the switch module

Cooper teaches a similar steering column assembly including an elevation (78, fig 4) protruding in a substantially radial direction from a central portion of a member (72, fig 3) that acts to center elements in the steering column (col 3, In 52-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hirschfeld and provide:

- An elevation protrudes toward the casing tube in a substantially radial direction from a central portion of a first face of a first clamping jaw that faces the casing tube
- The elevation contacting an outermost surface of the casing tube and centering the switch module

Art Unit: 3656

for the purpose of aligning and centering members of the steering column.

### Re clm 13

• The stator is connected to the bearing (18, fig 1)

### Re clm 14 and 15

The stator is connected to each clamping jaw via a spring element (19, fig
 1)

### Re clm 16

Each clamping jaw is in contact with the casing tube by way of a support
 (14, fig 1)

#### Re clm 21

The axial force is applied by means of a steering wheel bolt (fig 1)

#### Re clm 30

• The first face of the clamping jaw is spaced apart from the outermost surface of the casing tube based upon an amount of protrusion of the elevation from the first face of the first clamping jaw (Cooper's elevation would restrict the amount of force the spring of Hirschfeld could appy, thereby limiting the space based on the height of Cooper's elevation)

### Re clm 17 and 18

Hirschfeld further discloses:

A second face of the first clamping jaw faces away from the casing tube
 and toward the stator (fig 1)

Art Unit: 3656

Although Hirschfeld does disclose two members with obliquely extending surfaces (where 3 and 5 meet close to 7, fig 1), Hirschfeld does not disclose:

 Said second face extends obliquely with regard to the longitudinal axis of the steering spindle

 The inner face of the stator extends parallel to the oblique face of the clamping jaw

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hirschfeld and provide:

- Said second face extends obliquely with regard to the longitudinal axis of the steering spindle
- The inner face of the stator extends parallel to the oblique face of the clamping jaw

for the purpose of radially aligning the switch module relative to the casing tube as well as providing a very rigid joint.

4. Claims 22, 23-25, 27, 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirschfeld USP 6892602 in view of Pilatzki USP 4368454.

Hirschfeld discloses a similar device comprising:

#### Re clm 22

 A steering spindle (3, fig 1) which is mounted in a casing tube so as to be rotationally movable

Art Unit: 3656

 A switch module (10, fig 1) which is held immovably with respect to the rotational movement of the steering spindle and is fixed radially and axially on the casing tube

- The switch module is supported on a bearing arranged on the steering spindle (fig 1)
- The switch module comprises a centering device (11, 13, 19 and 20, fig 1)
  which, under a force which is oriented coaxially with respect to the
  longitudinal axis of the steering spindle, fixes the switch module on the
  casing tube and clamps the switch module radially
- The centering device comprising a stator (11, fig 1) and clamping jaws
   (13, fig 1)

### Hirschfeld does not disclose:

- A leaf spring, which is separate from the casing tube, engages in a cut-out of the casing tube and is fastened in a recess in an inner wall of the stator
- The cut-out provides an opening in the casing tube through which the leaf spring protrudes radially toward the steering spindle

#### Pilatzki teaches a steering column comprising:

- A leaf spring (11, fig 5) engages in a cut-out (14, fig 5) and is fastened in a recess (between 15 and 8, fig 5)
- The cut-out provides an opening through which the leaf spring protrudes radially (fig 5)

for the purpose of providing a movable coupling mechanism (col 3, In 5-10).

Art Unit: 3656

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hirschfeld and provide:

 A leaf spring, which is separate from the casing tube, engages in a cut-out of the casing tube and is fastened in a recess in an inner wall of the stator

 The cut-out provides an opening in the casing tube through which the leaf spring protrudes radially toward the steering spindle

for the purpose of providing a movable coupling mechanism.

#### Re clm 23

 Each clamping jaw is in contact with the casing by way of a support (14, fig 1; Hirschfeld)

#### Re clm 27

The axial force is applied by means of a steering wheel bolt (fig 1;
 Hirschfeld)

### Re clm 28

A control pin fastened to an outer side of the leaf spring (pins that anchor
 11 into place, fig 8)

### Re clm 31

• A center region of the leaf spring has a concave configuration (fig 5)

Hirschfeld further discloses:

### Re clm 24 and 25

A first face of a first clamping jaw faces the stator

Art Unit: 3656

Although Hirschfeld does disclose two members with obliquely extending surfaces (where 3 and 5 meet close to 7, fig 1), Hirschfeld does not disclose:

 Said first face extends obliquely with regard to the longitudinal axis of the steering spindle

 The inner face of the stator extends parallel to the oblique face of the clamping jaw

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hirschfeld and provide:

 Said first face extends obliquely with regard to the longitudinal axis of the steering spindle

 The inner face of the stator extends parallel to the oblique face of the clamping jaw

for the purpose of radially aligning the switch module relative to the casing tube as well as providing a very rigid joint.

5. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirschfeld USP 6892602 in view of Pilatzki USP 4368454 as applied to claim 25 above, and further in view of Cooper USP 3877319.

Hirschfeld in view of Pilatzki disclose all the claimed subject matter as described above.

Hirschfeld in view of Pilatzki does not disclose:

Re clm 26

Art Unit: 3656

 An elevation protrudes form a second face of the first clamping jaw which faces the casing tube

Cooper teaches a similar steering column assembly including an elevation (78, fig 4) protruding in a substantially radial direction from a central portion of a member (72, fig 3) that acts to center elements in the steering column (col 3, ln 52-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hirschfeld and provide:

 An elevation protrudes form a second face of the first clamping jaw which faces the casing tube

for the purpose of aligning and centering members of the steering column.

6. Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirschfeld USP 6892602 in view of Pilatzki USP 4368454 as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of Budaker USP 6830267.

Hirschfeld in view of Pilatzki disclose all the claimed subject matter as described above.

Hirschfeld in view of Pilatzki does not disclose:

#### Re clm 28

A control pin fastened to an outer side of the leaf spring

Budaker teaches controlling a leaf spring in a steering column comprising:

A control pin (15, fig 2) fastened to an outer side of the leaf spring (1, fig 2)
 for the purpose of manually controlling the operation of the leaf spring.

Art Unit: 3656

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hirschfeld in view of Pilatzki and provide:

 A control pin fastened to an outer side of the leaf spring the purpose of manually controlling the operation of the leaf spring.

Re clm 29, Budaker further discloses

- The control pin projects through the switch module to a trim panel of the steering column arrangement (fig 1)
- 7. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirschfeld USP 6892602 in view of Cooper USP 3877319 as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Wilson USP 6131481.

Hirschfeld in view of Cooper discloses all the claimed subject matter as described above.

Hirschfeld further discloses:

### Re clm 32

 Spring elements (19, fig 1) that provide an axial force on the clamping jaws

Hirschfeld in view of Cooper does not disclose:

Spring elements that are recessed into the stator

Wilson teaches spring elements of a steering column that are recessed into another element (fig 7) for the purpose of protecting the spring elements and preventing axial deflection of the spring elements.

Art Unit: 3656

spring elements.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hirschfeld and provide:

Spring elements that are recessed into the stator
 for the purpose of protecting the spring elements and preventing axial deflection of the

8. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirschfeld USP 6892602 in view of Pilatzki USP 4368454 as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of Wilson USP 6131481.

Hirschfeld in view of Pilatzki discloses all the claimed subject matter as described above.

Hirschfeld further discloses:

#### Re clm 33

 Spring elements (19, fig 1) that provide an axial force on the clamping jaws

Hirschfeld in view of Pilatzki does not disclose:

Spring elements that are recessed into the stator

Wilson teaches spring elements of a steering column that are recessed into another element (fig 7) for the purpose of protecting the spring elements and preventing axial deflection of the spring elements.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hirschfeld and provide:

Spring elements that are recessed into the stator

for the purpose of protecting the spring elements and preventing axial deflection of the spring elements.

# Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11, 13-18 and 21-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mercier USP 2580118 discloses a similar obliquely shaped sleeve.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALAN B. WAITS whose telephone number is (571)270-3664. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 am to 5 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on 571-272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alan B Waits/ Examiner, Art Unit 3656

/Richard WL Ridley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3656